

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/697,446	KUMHYR ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Satish S. Rampuria	2191	

**All Participants:**

(1) Satish S. Rampuria.

(2) Betty Formby [Reg. No. 36,536].

**Status of Application: Appeal Brief Filed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 1 August 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

No.

Claims discussed:

1,2,6,9,10,14,17,18 and 22

Prior art documents discussed:

No.

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Spoke to Betty Formby, the attorney of the record, regarding the possible 112 and 101 rejections. The attorney of the record granted the changes to overcome the possible 112 and 101 rejections. See the Examiner's amendment for details.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)